

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MATTHEW P. MICHEL,

No. 2:02-cv-2230-MCE-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 GEORGE M. GALAZA, Warden,

15 Respondent.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On September 13, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has filed
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304,
25 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case.


26 ///

1 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 13, 2006, are adopted in full; and
5 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

6 Dated: February 28, 2007

7 
8 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26